

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/665,208	09/18/2000	Chang-seok Kang	5649-842	4274
20792 7	590 04/10/2002			
MYERS BIG	ERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC		EXAMINER	
PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NC 27627			DANG, THI D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	9
			DATE MAILED: 04/10/2002	: /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ges
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/665,208	KANG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thi Dang	1763
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/16	<u>V02</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowardsed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) 23-25, 27-66 is/are pending in the ap	plication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-25 and 27-66</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro		
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/665,208

Art Unit: 1763

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 23-25, 30, 36, 37, 39, 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by *Bhatnagar*.

The apparatus of *Bhatnagar* is capable of being connected to any processing gas source or supplier. It would have been obvious to connect the gas source recited in claim 23 to *Bhatnagar*'s apparatus because the type of gas to be used depends on the treatment to be performed and *Bhatnagar*'s apparatus is not limited structurally by the type of treatment gas.

2. Claims 28, 29, 31-35, 38, 41-44, 46-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Bhatnaga* in view of *Henley* et al.

The rejection as stated in the previous Office Action, paper no. 6, paragraph 4, stands.

- 3. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shang.
  The rejection as stated in the previous Office Action, paper no. 6, paragraph 5, stands.
- 4. Claims 27-29, 45, 51-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Shang* et al in view of *Kuwabara* et al.

The rejection as stated in the previous Office Action, paper no. 6, paragraph 6, stands. The newly added claims 51-66 basically are related to intended use of the claimed apparatus but they fail to define the claimed invention structurally over that of prior art.

Application/Control Number: 09/665,208

Art Unit: 1763

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/16/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments are mainly directed to the method of using the claimed apparatus. The method limitations in the claimsfail to structually distinguish the claimed apparatus over that of prior art. Apparatus claims must be structurally distinguishable from the prior art to be patentable.

Claims directed to apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. In re Danly, 263 F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959). "[A]pparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does." Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (emphasis in original). See MPEP 2114.

The manner of operating the device does not differentiate the apparatus claim from the prior art. A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987) (The preamble of claim1 recited that the apparatus was "for mixing flowing developer material" and the body of the claim recited "means for mixing ..., said mixing means being stationary and completely submerged in the developer material". The claim was rejected over a reference which taught all the structural limitations of the claim for the

Art Unit: 1763

intended use of mixing flowing developer. However, the mixer was only partially submerged in the developer material. The Board held that the amount of submersion is immaterial to the structure of the mixer and thus the claim was properly rejected.). Id.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thi Dang whose telephone number is (703) 308-1973. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Mills can be reached on (703) 308-1633. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/665,208

Art Unit: 1763

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

TD April 8, 2002

1700